

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/760,346		01/21/2004	Youhei Toyoshima	062709-0126	9777	
22428	7590	05/02/2006		EXAMINER		
	ND LAR	DNER LLP	SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO			
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DO	20007	2837			
				DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/760,346	TOYOSHIMA, YOUHEI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Edgardo San Martin	2837				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja	anuary 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Identity of the Identity of the Identity of the Identity of Identity of the Identity of the Identity of Ident	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Information	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· <u>—</u>					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/21/04;7/20/05</u> . 6) U Other:							

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: MUFFLER WITH DRAIN SYSTEM.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 – 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshimura et al. (JP 58202323).

With respect to claim 1, Yoshimura teach a muffler (Fig.1) comprising a soundabsorbing structure having a gas introduced from a gas source; and an outlet (Fig.1, Item 11) defined by the sound-absorbing structure for discharging a drain separated from the gas (Abstract).

With respect to claims 2 – 6 and 8, the Examiner considers that Yoshimura teaches the limitations described in the claims (Fig.1; Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/760,346

Art Unit: 2837

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al. (JP 58202323) in view of Bourne (US 1,821,688).

Yoshimura et al. teach the limitations discussed in a previous rejection, but fail to disclose wherein the second hole is located at a lower half portion of the partition in a vertical direction.

Nevertheless, Bourne teaches a muffler comprising a plurality of partitions (Fig.1, Items 12 and 13) wherein a hole (Fig.1, Items 14 and 15) is located at a lower half portion of the partitions in a vertical direction.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Bourne hole configuration with the Yoshimura et al. design because the hole would permit the drainage of bottom water/moisture/condensate from the muffler when use in an horizontal application, as disclosed by Bourne (Col.3, Lines 48 – 63).

#### Conclusion

4. The attached hereto PTO Form 892 lists prior art made of record that the Examiner considered it pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2837

### **Contact Information**

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext.33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edgardo San Martín Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837

Class 181

April 30, 2006